

United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

INSERT DATE

SUBJECT: Past Performance Questionnaire for Solicitation Number SAQMMA16R0035, US DEPARTMENT OF STATE FOR OVERHAUL, REPAIR AND MODIFICATION OF T-53 AIRCRAFT

Dear Sir or Madam:

You have been identified as a U.S. Federal Government point of contact for a past performance evaluation of the firm listed on the attached survey form. This firm is currently being considered for an indefinite delivery, indefinite quantity (IDIQ) contract in response to a solicitation issued by the US Department of State, Office of Acquisition Management.

Please assess the performance factors listed on the attached questionnaire. Please complete and sign the questionnaire as a validation of your assessment. As part of your assessment, please provide a rating corresponding to the rating guidelines described in Section 3 for each contractor performance evaluation factor described in this section. For each factor and rating, please provide a narrative to support your rating. Upon completion of this questionnaire, please forward to the following:

US Department of State Office of Acquisition Management ATTN: Patrick J. Murphy Suite 214, 6767 North Wickham Road

Melbourne, FL 32940

E-Mail: murphypj@state.gov

A member of our past performance evaluation team may contact you regarding your response should it become necessary. In the event that your response contains derogatory or exceptionally positive comments regarding the contractor's performance, you may be requested to submit available documentation supporting these assessments. Your submittal of such documentation will hopefully eliminate post-response inquiries.

Thank you in advance for your assistance. Your prompt attention to this survey will be greatly appreciated. Should you have any questions concerning this request, please contact me a murphypj@state.gov or 321-622-8975, ext. 203.

Sincerely,

Patrick J. Murphy Contracting Officer US Department of State Attachment: Past Performance Questionnaire

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

SECTION 1 - TO BE COMPLETED BY OFFEROR

This Past Performance Questionnaire is submitted in response to RFP SAOMMA16R0035

	verhaul, Repair and Modification of T-53 Aircraft) as part of <i><insert entity="" name="" of="" oposal="" submitting=""></insert></i> proposal.
1.	Company Information: Include name, address, and cage code of company (prime/subcontractor/teaming partner/ joint venture partner) performing under the contract identified below.
2.	Program Title:
3.	Contract Number (including Delivery / Task Order number if applicable):
4.	Contract Award Basis: Competitive Non-Competitive
5.	Contract Date:
6.	Role: Prime Contractor Subcontractor Other: (Specify)
	If other than a prime contractor, provide the prime contractor's name, address, and cage code:
7.	Name and Address of Contracting Activity / Customer:
8.	Primary Points of Contact: Offeror is responsible for exerting its best efforts to ensure that

current information is provided for all individuals. If this is a commercial reference Offeror should change the Title, if appropriate, to commercial equivalents.

Title	Name	Phone	E-Mail
1. PCO			
2. ACO			
3. COR / CORT			
4. Other (Please Specify)			

- **9. Contract Type(s):** List all that apply (e.g. FFP, FPIF, CPFF, CPIF, LH, T&M).
- 10. Contract Value:
 - a. Awarded Total Contract Value:

(Including all options)

	b.	Current Total Contract Value: (Including all options)
	c.	Explanation for difference between awarded and current contract values:
11.	Pe	riod of Performance:
		Current (including all exercised options): MM/DD/YEAR to MM/DD/YEAR Potential (including all options): MM/DD/YEAR to MM/DD/YEAR
12.		ace of Performance: List all that apply. If multiple locations, please indicate annual dollar ue per location.
13.	. Sc	ope of work
	a.	Describe work performed by your company:
	b.	Relevancy: Provide information that demonstrates why this contract is relevant. Explain what aspects of performance under this reference are deemed relevant to the proposed effort and to what aspects of the proposed solicitation they relate to.
14	. W	ere Associate Contractor Agreements required?
		☐ Yes ☐ No
		If yes, please identify name and address of all Associate Contractors:
15.	. W]	hat were the key positive attributes about your performance?
16.	req	hat were the less than positive attributes and how were these resolved? The offeror is juired to clearly demonstrate management actions employed in overcoming problems and effects of those actions in terms of improvements achieved or problems rectified.
17.	. De	scribe lessons learned that may be used to support this acquisition:
18	. Ha	s this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience?
		☐ Yes ☐ No
		If yes, please provide additional details:
19.	Ar	e there any pending terminations?
		☐ Yes ☐ No
		Page 2 of 9

If yes, please provide additional details:	
20. Small Business Subcontracting Plan. Were liquidated damages assessed for failure to many a good faith effort to comply with the subcontracting plan (either individual or commercial)	
☐ Yes ☐ No	
If yes, please provide additional details:	

SECTION 2 – TO BE COMPLETED BY ASSESSOR

Your feedback is requested by the US Department of State, Office of Acquisition Management. In efforts to expedite receipt of the requested information, the Contracting Officer respectfully requests that you do not mail hard copies. Instead, please e-mail the completed questionnaire (Sections 1 and 2) to murphypj@state.gov please contact Patrick J. Murphy at the same email should you have any questions.

EVALUATED BY:	
Organization:	
1. Is the information corcurrent, and complete	npleted by the Offeror in Section 1 of this questionnaire accurate,
☐ Yes ☐ N	o
If no, please explain	n / correct:

2. Performance Evaluation

Assign a rating to each area based on the ratings guidelines in Section 3. Please provide a narrative explanation for any rating of 2 or less.

a. Quality of Products / Services:

	Rating	Explanation
1. Performance conformed to contract		
requirements, specifications, quality of		
product, and standards of good workmanship		
(e.g. commonly accepted technical,		
professional standards).		
2. Contractor provided accurate and		
complete deliverables.		
3. Contractor was proactive in identifying,		
notifying the Government, and resolving		
performance issues/concerns.		
4. Contractor consistently provided		
personnel with the appropriate security		
clearances, qualifications and experience		
required to perform the contract.		

b. Schedule:

	Rating	Explanation
1. Contractor provided deliverables on time.		
2. Contractor performed services in a timely		
manner.		

c. Cost Control:

	Rating	Explanation
1. Contractor performed services within		
contract cost parameters.		
2. Contractor's purchasing system and practices were adequate to control prices / costs.		
3. Contractor invoiced in a timely manner with minimal corrections.		

d. Business Relations:

Area	Rating	Explanation
1. Contractor has a history of reasonable and		
cooperative behavior and effective business		
relations.		
2. Contractor is dedicated to customer		
satisfaction.		
3. Contractor works well with other		
contractors / team members.		
4. Contractor effectively adapts to changes in		
contract requirements and priorities.		
5. Contractor effectively identifies and		
resolves problems related to the contract,		
services and personnel.		
6. Contractor provides viable and timely		
proposals for contract modifications or task		
orders.		

e. Management

Area	Rating	Explanation
1. Effectiveness of integration and		
coordination of all activities required to		
execute the contract, use of resources,		
assignment of responsibility, internal		
coordination and communication, and risk		
management practices.		
2. Contractor's ability to effectively manage		

multiple task/delivery orders simultaneously	
covering multiple disciplines and locations.	
3. Contractor was able to select, retain,	
support, and replace personnel with the	
experience and expertise necessary to	
accomplish the Government's requirements	
within schedule and budget.	
4. Contractor's ability to forecast material	
requirements, ensure costs of material are	
based on time-phased requirements.	
5. Contractor adequately managed and	
controlled Government Furnished Property /	
Facilities.	
6. Timely award and effective management	
of multiple subcontracts.	

3. Identify the contractor's overall technical/management strengths and weaknesses:

4.	Would you have concerns working with this firm again?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, please explain:

5. Additional information not included above:

SECTION 3 - PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE RATING GUIDELINES

1. Quality, schedule, business relations, management, and cost control ratings

RATING	DESCRIPTION
5 - EXCEPTIONAL	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or subelement being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was highly effective.
4 - VERY GOOD	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or subelement being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was effective.
3 - SATISFACTORY	Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.
2 - MARGINAL	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.
1 - UNSATISFACTORY	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.
N - NOT APPLICABLE	Unable to provide a rating. Contract did not include performance for this aspect. Do not know.